Indications and procedures for direct immunofluorescence biopsies of the oral mucosa
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A definitive diagnosis is crucial for management of any oral mucosal disease. Direct immunofluorescence (DIF) is a valuable diagnostic aid for immune-mediated blistering diseases and systemic connective tissue diseases of the skin and the mucosa. This paper gives an overview of the DIF biopsy technique for oral lesions and provides a background for the clinician to optimize the utilization of DIF biopsy. The key characteristic diagnostic findings of DIF of specific mucosal diseases are also discussed. (doi: 10.3290/j.qi.a32921)
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Direct immunofluorescence (DIF) technique is used to identify tissue-bound antibodies in autoimmune diseases by the use of fluorescein-labeled antibodies. DIF has been very useful in the diagnosis of immune-mediated blistering diseases and systemic connective tissue diseases of the skin and the mucosa.1,2 DIF is helpful in supporting, confirming, differentiating, and/or ruling out diagnosis between various immune-mediated blistering diseases affecting oral mucosa. The use of DIF is helpful in confirming the diagnosis for mucous membrane pemphigoid,3 pemphigus vulgaris,4 linear immunoglobulin A (IgA) disease,5 chronic ulcerative stomatitis,6 dermatitis herpetiformis,7 and epidermolysis bullosa acquisita.8 DIF testing is also considered an important adjunct for the diagnosis in oral lichen planus,9 systemic lupus erythematosus, scleroderma, and mixed connective tissue disease.2 The results of DIF are correlated with clinical, serologic, and histopathologic findings to differentiate between immune-mediated blistering diseases and from other erosive and ulcerative lesions affecting the oral mucosa.

When immune-mediated blistering diseases occur in the oral cavity, the general dental practitioner is usually the individual that sees the initial phases of these diseases. Therefore, the general dental practitioner has to be aware of the signs, symptoms, and methods for diagnosis of the immune-mediated blistering diseases. This leads to an early diagnosis and the control of these diseases at their initial phases resulting in less discomfort and complications.

Important factors including clinical indications, biopsy site, technique, specimen collection, handling, and processing are crucial in maximizing the utilization of the DIF biopsy technique.
of the DIF biopsy. The purpose of this paper is to provide an overview of the above factors to the clinician for optimal use of the DIF biopsy.

**Indications for DIF biopsy**

A definitive diagnosis is critical for the appropriate management of oral mucosal diseases. DIF biopsy may be an aid in establishing definitive diagnosis in the following conditions:

- If the clinician suspects that a persistent vesiculobullous, or erosive or ulcerative oral lesions represent an immune-mediated disease, DIF studies may be required. The common denominator of immune-mediated blistering diseases and systemic connective tissue diseases is the persistence of an oral lesion for more than several weeks. Most of these diseases will show ulceration, erythema, and sloughing of epithelium with or without positive Nikolsky’s sign. Also, definitive diagnosis of the underlying disease in desquamative gingivitis requires a DIF biopsy. Hematoxylin-eosin (h&е) biopsy should accompany the DIF biopsy to establish the definitive biopsy for lesions that may not show immunofluorescence findings. Other diseases, including malignancy, may mimic immunologically mediated diseases.7,10

- DIF biopsy may be taken by request of the oral pathologist after examination of h&е biopsy. DIF is considered the gold standard for diagnosis of some of the immune-mediated blistering diseases and confirmation of diagnosis may be necessary for appropriate treatment.11 In addition, sometimes the h&е findings are not specific enough to separate some of the disease entities from each other.

- If a nonspecific diagnosis of chronic inflammation is given to the oral pathologist based on h&е biopsy and the lesion still persists, DIF biopsies may help in establishing definitive diagnosis. The h&е biopsy may have been taken from an area that is not representative of the disease process. Immunologically mediated diseases undergo cycles of exacerbation and quiescence making selection of the biopsy site a problem.

**Biopsy site selection**

Biopsy site selection for h&е studies has been outlined by Melrose et al.12 The selection of an appropriate biopsy site is also critical for DIF studies.13 Dermatologic literature recommends the taking of two biopsies: one from lesional/perilesional site with intact epithelium and one from adjacent normal tissue.1 Areas of blister formation and ulceration should be avoided (Fig 1a).13 Sano et al14 has also shown that biopsies in oral cavity from multiple sites have a better diagnostic sensitivity rate than biopsies from a single site. This has also been shown in other studies.7 The h&е biopsy site should be selected from a lesional site adjacent to the DIF biopsy site. Epithelial covering is required for all biopsies since the epithelial-connective junction is needed for establishing diagnosis (Fig 1b).
Biopsy technique

There are not many studies about the best method of taking biopsies for DIF from oral mucosa. Sano et al. have shown that punch biopsy samples have a greater detection rate for DIF than those taken with a scalpel. However, taking a biopsy with a punch in the oral cavity may have certain problems. These occur due to a lack of experience with punch biopsies by the practitioner, the ease with which the epithelium slides off in this biopsy procedure, and the difficulty of using the punch on unattached mucosa and all sites in the oral cavity. Siegel suggests a wedge-shaped incisional biopsy from a perilesional site to be bisected and submitted for both h&e and DIF studies. This approach is acceptable if the sample is large enough to provide adequate tissue for both procedures. However, tiny samples provide difficulties for the technician in producing quality slides and may also impair the pathologist’s ability to provide specific diagnosis. As there is a problem in some immune-mediated blistering diseases with fragile epithelium, a DIF specimen from adjacent normal tissue is necessary.

The biopsy procedure for DIF is similar for all the mucosal tissues (floor of the mouth, ventral side of the tongue, soft-palate, lip, and keratinized mucosa such as palate), except for gingiva. For gingival biopsies the incisions need to be extending to the bone and must be dissected from the underlying periosteum before submission of the tissue. The site of the biopsy may also determine if an incisional or punch biopsy is possible. Palatal and gingival sites do not generally allow adequate biopsies using the punch biopsy technique, making incisional biopsy the technique of choice. Traditional incisional biopsies are in the shape of an ellipse, the length of which should be approximately three times the width. The specimen be should be a minimum of 4 to 5 mm in length. The specimen should also be deep enough to include the basement membrane and the underlying connective tissue (at least 3 to 4 mm). The specimens should be placed immediately in the appropriate solution (Michel’s buffer for normal and lesion biopsy, formalin for h&e biopsy) and labeled with the site and the patient’s name.

Orientation markers are commonly done in excisional biopsies to establish if a lesion has been adequately excised. Orientation is accomplished by placing one or several sutures and informing the pathologist as to the location of suture placements. If the specimen is thin and fragile, it is advisable to place it on a piece of thick paper, with the connective tissue side down, for at least 1 minute to ensure that the sample stays flat during fixation. Usually the punch or the incisional biopsies do not require any orientation makers.
Clinical diagnosis and dental/medical history

Recording of clinical diagnosis is a method for the clinician to communicate to the pathologist his impression of the diagnosis that he has obtained from the clinical findings and patient’s history. In a considerable number of instances the definitive diagnosis depends on correlation of clinical findings and medical history along with h&e and DIF results. For example, recent publications indicate that lichen planus cannot be differentiated from lichenoid reaction on histologic examination.19 The lichenoid reactions include drug reactions and allergic reactions to filling materials and other substances.20 To differentiate lichenoid reactions from lichen planus, it is necessary to describe the distribution of the lesions, and obtain a history of allergens and the list of medications.21 DIF findings of lichenoid lesions have not yet been sufficiently investigated to determine whether they are similar or different from lichen planus. Comprehensive history of systemic diseases, particularly autoimmune diseases and dermatologic diseases, may be also helpful in establishing diagnosis of vesiculobullous diseases. This is important because various systemic connective tissue diseases present with oral manifestations and sometimes they are the only sign of the disease.22

Method of submission and specimen handling

The diagnosis of immunologically mediated diseases of oral mucosa requires biopsies for h&e and DIF studies.

H&E biopsy

For the h&e biopsy, the tissue specimens received in 10% formalin are processed using routine techniques consisting of dehydration in gradations of alcohols, cleared in xylene, and embedded in paraffin. Five-micron tissue sections are cut on a microtome and mounted on glass slides. The tissue sections are stained by h&e and examined with a light microscope by an oral pathologist. A biopsy report is issued with the histologic diagnosis.

DIF biopsy

DIF techniques were developed using unfixed frozen tissues cut with a cryostat. Initially, the tissue biopsies used for immunofluorescence studies were snap frozen in dry ice or liquid nitrogen and transported to the laboratory in the frozen state. Problems arose because snap freezing was not possible in all clinical areas and transportation of tissue in a frozen state can be difficult.23 Therefore, many transport media and methods have been tried to maximize both quality of immunofluorescence examination and the ease of use.

A medium for transport of specimens was first described by Michel et al.23 This medium contains proteolytic enzyme inhibitor (n-ethylmaleimide) and has a high salt concentration (ammonium sulfate) in a buffer with neutral pH. The medium can be stored at room temperature for 1 year without any deterioration.24 Any visible precipitation or cloudiness indicates deterioration of the transport medium and it should not be used for the submission of specimens. Many transport media have been developed over time,25,26 but Michel’s transport medium remains the most widely used.27,28

When the biopsies for DIF are received in the laboratory they are washed in a buffer solution. Each specimen is removed from wash buffer and mounted on a block by snap freezing on dry ice. Four- to six-micron tissue sections are obtained and two to three sections are placed on each five-well adhesive coated slide. The tissues are allowed to dry at ambient temperature for 20 minutes and then washed in phosphate buffered saline containing sodium azide (PBS-A). The sections are stained for bound IgG, IgA, IgM, fibrin, and complement C3 using fluorescein-labeled goat anti-human conjugates. In some cases, depending on the clinical impression or immunofluorescence findings, staining with mouse human anti-IgG4 or anti-C5b-9 conjugate is also performed.29,30 Slides with the conjugates are incubated for 30 minutes (at room temperature) in a moist incubation chamber protected from light. After incubation, slides are washed in PBS-A and placed in the PBS-A for an additional 10 minutes. Each slide is cover slipped using mounting medium.
Table 1  The site, pattern, immunofluorescence, and presence of circulating antibody in autoimmune diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Pattern in DIF</th>
<th>Biopsy N/L</th>
<th>IgG</th>
<th>IgA</th>
<th>IgM</th>
<th>Fibrin</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5b-9</th>
<th>Serum antibodies</th>
<th>Method of detection of serum antibodies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>Intercellular</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (Dsg-1, Dsg-3)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; primate/guinea pig esophageal epithelium &amp; human skin</td>
<td>32, 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNP</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>Intercellular</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (Plakins)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; rat bladder</td>
<td>34, 35, 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMZ</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP</td>
<td>BMZ on epithelial and CT side</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (BP 180/230)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; primate esophageal epithelium &amp; human skin</td>
<td>3, 37, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>BMZ</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (LAD-1)</td>
<td>WB</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH</td>
<td>BMZ</td>
<td>Granular</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (tTG, EMA)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; primate bladder, esophagus/human umbilicus</td>
<td>2, 40, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBA</td>
<td>BMZ on the CT side</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (Type VI collagen)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; primate bladder, distal esophagus/human umbilicus</td>
<td>2, 41, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLP</td>
<td>BMZ</td>
<td>Shaggy</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUS</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>Speckled</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (SES-ANA)</td>
<td>IIF; primate esophageal epithelium</td>
<td>6, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMZ</td>
<td>Shaggy</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLE/SCLE</td>
<td>BMZ/CT</td>
<td>Granular</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (ANA, various ENA’s)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; HEp-2/mouse kidney</td>
<td>2, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>Various patterns, mainly speckled</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMZ/CT</td>
<td>Granular</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(ANA, various ENA’s)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; HEp-2/mouse kidney</td>
<td>2, 31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>Various patterns/ mainly homogenous</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>Centro-mere/ nuclear dots</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (ANA, ScI 70, PM-ScI)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; HEp-2/mouse kidney</td>
<td>2, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCTD</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>Speckled/ homogenous</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+ (ANA, U1 RNP)</td>
<td>IIF/ELISA; HEp-2/mouse kidney</td>
<td>2, 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANA, antinuclear antibody; BMZ, basement membrane zone; BP, bullous pemphigoid antigens; CT, connective tissue; CUS, chronic ulcerative stomatitis; DH, dermatitis herpetiformis; DLE, discoid lupus erythematosus; Dsg, desmogleins; EBA, epidermolysis bullosa acquisita; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; EMA, endomyosal antibody; ENA, extractable nuclear antigen; HEp-2, human epithelial cell line 2; IC, intercellular; IF, indirect immunofluorescence; LAD, linear IgA disease; MCTD, mixed connective tissue disease; MMP, mucus membrane pemphigoid; N/L, normal/lesional; OLP, oral lichen planus; PM-Sd, polymyositis scleroderma antibody; PNP, paraneoplastic pemphigus; PV, pemphigus vulgaris; ScI 70 (anti-topoisomerase 1), scleroderma antibody; SCLE, subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus; SES, stratified epithelial specific antibody; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc, systemic sclerosis; tTG, tissue transglutaminase; U1 RNP, small nuclear ribonucleoproteins; WB, Western blot.
In some cases a more precise localization of the immunoreactants (bound antibody) is needed.\textsuperscript{31} Incubating the normal skin with 1 mol/L solution of sodium chloride separates the epidermis from the dermis. Localization of the immunoreactants with the epidermal or dermal side may further identify the specific disease. Thus, in mucous membrane pemphigoid the immunodeposits may be on both sides of the salt-split tissue assay, while in epidermolysis bullosa acquissita the immunodeposits show localization on the floor of the saline-induced split.

**Interpretation**

Interpretation of DIF is made by a pathologist using a fluorescence microscope with the following characteristic: XCITE 120 bulb (high pressure 120 watt metal halide short arc 200× magnification employing FITC-3540B-NTE filter combination).

The report to the clinician includes the positive findings with a particular reagent and the site and pattern of the reagent deposits (Table 1). The diagnosis is given as being consistent, suggestive, or not consistent with the clinical diagnosis given by the clinician. If the pattern is consistent with some other immunopathologically identifiable diseases, the diagnosis for this disease is given. A comment is added if additional information or serum is needed to confirm this disease.

In most cases of vesiculobullous diseases the definitive diagnosis is based on a combination of clinical, histologic, immunopathologic, and sometimes serologic findings. In some of the cases the diagnosis may be very descriptive and inconclusive. There may also be instances when clinical impression may not correlate with the histologic findings. For these cases it is good practice to discuss the case with the pathologist to ascertain the significance of the histologic to the clinical findings.

Serology studies are helpful as an additional diagnostic marker in lupus, dermatitis, scleroderma, paraneoplastic pemphigus, and dermatitis herpetiformis. In cases of pemphigus, pemphigoid, and lupus erythematosus, circulating antibodies in the serum may be used for treatment monitoring purposes. DIF studies require high specialization and training and are not available widely. However, serology studies are much more common and are commonly used for diagnostic purposes when DIF is not available.

In summary, this paper outlines the indications for the DIF biopsy and provides a background of this procedure for the dental practitioner. It is important that the clinician is aware of the use of DIF studies in the diagnosis of oral disease. An accurate diagnosis of oral lesions is part of any comprehensive dental care. DIF biopsies have been proven to be help in supporting and confirming various diseases that may affect the oral cavity.
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