INFLUENCE OF METHYLENE BLUE-MEDIATED PHOTODYNAMIC THERAPY ON THE RESISTANCE TO DETACHMENT OF STREPTOCOCCUS MUTANS BIOFILMS FROM TITANIUM SUBSTRATA **TB** School of **DETACH DetACH DetACH DetACH** Sharab, L.; Mang, T.; Ciancio, S.; Baier, R. Biomaterials Graduate Program, School of Dental Medicine, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Testing the effect of Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) on bioadhesion strength to titanium substrata as a method of cleaning biomaterial surface by examining whether the detachment shear stress would be affected by prior PDT treatment independently from microbial viability

Methods: Biofilms of Streptococcus mutans (ATCC strain 27351) were grown with sucrose addition to Brain Heart Infusion media, initiating the biofilms with bacteria of three different ages (phases). One set of biofilm samples received no PDT (controls); another set received methylene-blue-mediated PDT. A water-jet impingement apparatus was used to determine the adhesive strength of biofilms to the titanium substrata. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed to obtain images of the samples before and after jet impingement. Replicate biofilms prepared on germanium prisms were characterized by MAIR-infrared spectroscopy.

Results: PDT'd microbial biofilms were significantly (p<0.05) and differentially delaminated and ultimately removed from their substrata biomaterials by the hydrodynamic forces of water-jet impingement. Control (no PDT) biofilms of varying thicknesses required 144-228 dynes/cm² shear stress to delaminate from titanium, while PDT'd biofilms were removed at 90-140 dynes/cm², depending on water flow rate. However, separation of microbial layers from the exopolymer matrix required only 57-68 dynes/cm² shear stress (controls) and 39-51 dynes/cm² (PDT'd biofilms), again depending on water flow rate. The thicker areas of biofilms had greater susceptibility to detachment by water-jet impingement. MAIR-IR spectra of replicate biofilms and SEM images of control and PDT'd biofilms confirmed these findings. Colony-forming-unit (CFU) counts routinely correlated well with results from a spectrophotometric Alamar Blue (AB) assay, except at long incubation times when the AB reagents showed some autoreductioninduced color changes.

Conclusion: These results are consistent with proposals that methylene-blue-mediated PDT induces oxidative embrittlement and fragmentation of biofilm matrix biopolymers, allowing easier release by hydrodynamic (rinsing) forces.

INTRODUCTION

In dental settings, as well as in other natural systems, plaqueforming microorganisms develop biofilms in which the microbes become protected via their own phenotypic changes and their polymeric exudates from disinfection by washes and antibiotics. Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) is variably effective against these microorganisms, depending on such factors as whether the bacteria are Gram positive or Gram negative, plaque age and thickness, and internal biofilm oxygen concentration.

This investigation applied a novel combination of PDT and water-jet impingement techniques to Streptococcus mutans (ATCC strain 27351)-formed biofilms on commercially pure titanium (cpTi) starting with three different phases (ages) of the bacteria, to examine whether the detachment shear stress -as a signature for the work required for removal of the biofilms- would be affected by prior PDT treatment independently from microbial viability.

Biofilms were grown with sucrose addition to Brain Heart Infusion media on Germanium prisms and cp Ti, producing visible thick films and nearly invisible thin films (within the same piece) having the same numbers of culturable microorganisms, the thicker films having greater susceptibility to detachment by water-jet impingement (Figure 1). Colony-forming-unit (CFU) counts routinely correlated well with results from a spectrophotometric Alamar Blue (AB) assay (Figure 2), except at long incubation times when the AB reagents showed some autoreduction-induced color changes. Use of Methylene Blue (MB) as a photosensitizer (PS) for PDT of biofilms (delivered by Periowave laser system Figure 3) did not interfere with the AB assay but did mask AB reduction spectral changes when employed with planktonic organisms.



In the preliminary testing on a biofilm grown on germanium prisms having surface properties similar to those of cp Ti , Multiple Attenuated Internal Reflection InfraRed spectra results showed differences in film-removal susceptibility for shear stresses as low as 10 dynes/cm², and illustrated the PDT-induced preferential removal of predominantly the polysaccharide biofilm components (Graph 1). After testing on cp Ti, it was discovered in this work that PD-treated microbial biofilms, independently from starting or PS-influenced microorganism viability, were significantly (p<0.05) and differentially delaminated and ultimately removed from their substrata biomaterials by the hydrodynamic forces of water-jet impingement (Figure 4). Control biofilms of varying thickness, not receiving PDT treatment, required between 144 and 228 dynes/cm² of shear stress to delaminate from titanium while PDT-treated companion biofilms were removed at 90 to 140 dynes/cm², depending on water flow rate. In comparison, it required only between 57 and 68 dynes/cm² shear stress to separate microbial layers from within the exopolymer matrix of control biofilms, and between 39 and 51 dynes/cm² to delaminate PDT-treated matrix sections of varying thickness biofilms, again depending on water flow rate (Table 1). Scanning Electron Microscopy of Control and PDT-treated biofilms before and after water-jet impingement confirmed these findings (Figure 5).



MATERIALS and METHODS

RESULTS



Fig. 4: Even when central circles and halos are almost equal in size in control (right) and treated biofilms (left), the water jet penetrates deeper through the treated biofilm.

Table 1: Shear stress values for biofilm grown from younger bacteria:									
	_	txhal20	txcen20	con.cen.20	con.hal.20	tx.cen.10	con.cen.10	tx.hal.10	con.hal.10
Ν	Valid	23	26	26	22	17	12	21	13
	Mean dyne/cm ²	51.0	89.4	134.3	67.2	139.4	226.3	38.9	56.8
	Std. Deviation	1.5	13.9	27.5	13.7	70.4	26.5	21.6	17.7







ig. 5: A and C are SEM image of the central area of the detached circle in a control biofilm with differen nagnifications. B and D are the center of detached circle in PDT (MB) in bacterial count. Both biofilms were grown under the same conditions by receiving 24 hrs bacteria at the initial adhesion

CONCLUSIONS

These results are consistent with proposals that PDT induces oxidative embrittlement and fragmentation of plaque/biofilm matrix biopolymers, allowing easier release by hydrodynamic (rinsing) forces.

REFERENCES

Absolom, D. R., F. V. Lamberti, Z. Policova, W. Zingg, C. J. van Oss, and A. W. Neumann. 1983. Surface thermodynamics of bacterial adhesion. Appl Environ Microbiol 46 (1):90-7. Al-Nasiry S., Geusens N., Hanssens M., Luyten C.and Pijnenborg R. 2007. The use of Alamar Blue assay for quantitative analysis of viability, migration and invasion of choriocarcinoma cells. Human Reproduction 2: (5):1304-1309. An, Y. H., and R. J. Friedman. 1998. Concise review of mechanisms of bacterial adhesion to biomaterial surfaces. J Biomed Mater Res 43 (3):338-48. Baier, R. E. 2006. Surface behaviour of biomaterials: the theta surface for biocompatibility. J Mater Sci Mater Med 17 (11):1057-62. Bevilacqua, I. M., R. A. Nicolau, S. Khouri, A. Brugnera, Jr., G. R. Teodoro, R. A. Zangaro, and M. T. Pacheco. 2007. The impact of photodynamic therapy on the viability of Streptococcus mutans in a planktonic culture med Laser Surg 25 (6):513 Bos. R., H. C. van der Mei, and H. J. Busscher. 1999. Physico-chemistry of initial microbial adhesive interactions--its mechanisms and methods for study. FEMS Microbiol Rev 23 (2):179-230 Castro GL, Gallas M, Núñez IR, Borrajo JL, Alvarez JC, Varela LG. 2007. Scanning electron microscopic analysis of diode laser-treated titanium implant surfaces. Photomed Laser Surg 25 (2):124-8. Chan, Y., and C. H. Lai. 2003. Bactericidal effects of different laser wavelengths on periodontopathic germs in photodynamic therapy. Lasers in medical science 18 (1):51-5. Christodoulides, Nicos, Dimitris Nikolidakis, Panagiotis Chondros, Jurgen Becker, Frank Schwarz, Ralf Rossler, and Anton Sculean, 2008, Photodynamic therapy as an adjunct to non-surgical periodontal treatment: andomized, controlled clinical trial. Journal of Periodontology 79 (9):1638-44. Daneo-Moore, L., B. Terleckyj, and G. D. Shockman. 1975. Analysis of growth rate in sucrose-supplemented cultures of Streptococcus mutans. Infect Immun 12 (5):1195-205 Demidova, T. N., and M. R. Hamblin. 2005. Effect of cell-photosensitizer binding and cell density on microbial photoinactivation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49 (6):2329-35. Fontana, C. R., A. D. Abernethy, S. Som, K. Ruggiero, S. Doucette, R. C. Marcantonio, C. I. Boussios, R. Kent, J. M. Goodson, A. C. Tanner, and N. S. Soukos. 2009. The antibacterial effect of photodynamic therapy in dental plaque-derived biofilms. J Periodontal Res 44 (6):751-9. Foultier, M. T., V. Vonarx-Coinsmann, S. Cordel, A. Combre, and T. Patrice. 1994. Modulation of colonic cancer cell adhesiveness by haematoporphyrin derivative photodynamic therapy. Journal of photochemistry and photobiology B. Biology 23 (1):9-17. Gad, Faten, Touqir Zahra, Tayyaba Hasan, and Michael R. Hamblin. 2004. Effects of growth phase and extracellular slime on photodynamic inactivation of gram-positive pathogenic bacteria. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 48 (6):2173-8. George, S., M. R. Hamblin, and A. Kishen. 2009. Uptake pathways of anionic and cationic photosensitizers into bacteria. Photochem Photobiol Sci 8 (6):788-95. Giroldo, L. M., M. P. Felipe, M. A. de Oliveira, E. Munin, L. P. Alves, and M. S. Costa. 2009. Photodynamic antimicrobial chemotherapy (PACT) with methylene blue increases membrane permeability in Candida albicans Lasers in medical science 24 (1) 109-12 Gottenbos, B., H. C. van der Mei, and H. J. Busscher. 1999. Models for studying initial adhesion and surface growth in biofilm formation on surfaces. Methods Enzymol 310:523-34 Harris, F., L. K. Chatfield, and D. A. Phoenix. 2005. Phenothiazinium based photosensitisers--photodynamic agents with a multiplicity of cellular targets and clinical applications. Curr Drug Targets 6 (5):615-27. Jori, G., C. Fabris, M. Soncin, S. Ferro, O. Coppellotti, D. Dei, L. Fantetti, G. Chiti, and G. Roncucci. 2006. Photodynamic therapy in the treatment of microbial infections: basic principles and perspective applications. Laser Surg Med 38 (5):468-8 Katsikogianni M, and Y F. Missirlis, 2004. Concise review of mechanisms of bacterial adhesion to biomaterials and of techniques used in estimating bacteria-material interactions. Fur Cell Mater 8:37-57 Kobayashi, H., M. Oethinger, M. J. Tuohy, G. W. Procop, and T. W. Bauer. 2009. Improved detection of biofilm-formative bacteria by vortexing and sonication: a pilot study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 467 (5):1360-4 Konopka, K., and T. Goslinski. 2007. Photodynamic therapy in dentistry. Journal of dental research 86 (8):694-707. Maisch, Tim, Jurgen Baier, Barbara Franz, Max Maier, Michael Landthaler, Rolf-Markus Szeimies, and Wolfgang Baumler. 2007. The role of singlet oxygen and oxygen concentration in photodynamic inactivation of bacteria Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104 (17):7223-8. Malik Z., Ladan H., Yeshavahu N. 1992, Photodynamic inactivation of Gram-negative bactereia: problems and possible solutions, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology, B: Biology 14 (3):262-266 Mark Wainwright, Kent B. Crossleyb. 2004. Photosensitising agents—circumventing resistance and breaking down bioflms: a review. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 53:119 – 126. Meurman, J. H., H. Tuompo, and K. Lounatmaa. 1983. Ultrastructural visualization of the adherence of Streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus salivarius to hydroxyapatite. Scand J Dent Res 91 (6):448-52. Meyer, Anne E. 1990. Dynamics of "conditioning" Film Formation on Biomaterials. Edited by d. o. P. D. thesis, Lund University. Sweden: Lund 1990. Meyer, K. H. Rittle; C. E. Helmstetter; A. E. 1990. Escherichia coli retention on solid surfaces as functions of substratum surface energy and cell growth phase Biofouling 2 (2):121 - 130. Mroz P, Hamblin MR. 2008. Advances in photodynamic therapy:basic, translational and clinical., Photophysics and Photochemistry in Photodynamic Therapy. MA: Artech House. O'Brien, J., I. Wilson, T. Orton, and F. Pognan. 2000. Investigation of the Alamar Blue (resazurin) fluorescent dye for the assessment of mammalian cell cytotoxicity. Eur J Biochem 267 (17):5421-6. Parlar, Ates, Dieter D. Bosshardt, Deniz Cetiner, Denis Schafroth, Berrin Unsal, Cenk Haytac, and Niklaus P. Lang. 2009. Effects of decontamination and implant surface characteristics on re-osseointegration following treatment of peri-implantitis. Clinical oral implants research 20 (4):391-9. Paulino, Tony P., Karina F. Ribeiro, Geraldo Thedei, Antonio C. Tedesco, and Pietro Ciancaglini. 2005. Use of hand held photopolymerizer to photoinactivate Streptococcus mutans. Archives of Oral Biology 50 (3):353-9. Peeters, E., H. J. Nelis, and T. Coenye. 2008. Comparison of multiple methods for quantification of microbial biofilms grown in microtiter plates. J Microbiol Methods 72 (2):157-65 Robert J. Scott, Lisa A. Pedigo, Nicolas G. Loebel, PhD, Cale N. Street, PhD, MBA. Periowave™ Eradicates P. gingivalis from Dental Implants Without Altering Surface Chemistr Rousset, N., V. Vonarx, S. Eleouet, J. Carre, E. Kerninon, Y. Lajat, and T. Patrice. 1999. Effects of photodynamic therapy on adhesion molecules and metastasis. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B, Biology 52 (1-3):65-73. Rovaldi, C. R., A. Pievsky, N. A. Sole, P. M. Friden, D. M. Rothstein, and P. Spacciapoli. 2000. Photoactive porphyrin derivative with broad-spectrum activity against oral pathogens In vitro. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 44 (12):3364-7. Scheer, M., Neugebauer J., Rothamel D., Fienitz T., Ritter L., Zöller J. 2010. Effect of Antimicrobial Photodynamic Therapy (aPDT) on Osteoblast Adherence and Growth in Vitro. http://www.helbo.de/fileadmin/docs/wissenschaft/Poster_AO-2010.pdf University of Cologne, Germany (poster presented at the Academy of Osseointegration Shibli, Jamil Awad, Marilia Compagnoni Martins, Francisco Humberto Nociti, Valdir Gouveia Garcia, and Elcio Marcantonio. 2003. Treatment of ligature-induced peri-implantitis by lethal photosensitization and guided bond regeneration: a preliminary histologic study in dogs. Journal of periodontology 74 (3):338-45. Simon Wood, Daniel Metcalf, Deirdre Devine, and Colin Robinson,. 2006. Erythrosine is a potential photosensitizer for the photodynamic therapy of oral plaque biofilms. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 57:680–684 Smith, Brian. 1998. Infrared Spectral Interpretation: A Systematic Approach. In In The Basics of Infrared Interpretation: CRC Press. Soares, B. M., D. L. da Silva, G. R. Sousa, J. C. Amorim, M. A. de Resende, M. Pinotti, and P. S. Cisalpino. 2009. In vitro photodynamic inactivation of Candida spp. growth and adhesion to buccal epithelial cells. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B, Biology 94 (1):65-70. Spormann, A. M. 2008. Physiology of microbes in biofilms. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 322:17-36. Stefan Renvert, Ann-Marie, and and Noel Claffey Roos-Jansaker. 2008. Non-surgical treatment of peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis: a literature review. J Clin Periodontol 35:305–315. Sul, Y. T., C. Johansson, A. Wennerberg, L. R. Cho, B. S. Chang, and T. Albrektsson. 2005. Optimum surface properties of oxidized implants for reinforcement of osseointegration: surface chemistry, oxide thickness, porosity, roughness, and crystal structure. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 20 (3):349-59. Tavares, A., C. M. Carvalho, M. A. Faustino, M. G. Neves, J. P. Tome, A. C. Tome, J. A. Cavaleiro, A. Cunha, N. C. Gomes, E. Alves, and A. Almeida. 2010. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy: study of bacterial recovery viability and potential development of resistance after treatment. Mar Drugs 8 (1):91-105. Taylor, R., C. Maryan, and J. Verran. 1998. Retention of oral microorganisms on cobalt-chromium alloy and dental acrylic resin with different surface finishes. J Prosthet Dent 80 (5):592-7. Vaishnav, R. N., D. J. Patel, H. B. Atabek, M. D. Deshpande, F. Plowman, and J. Vossoughi. 1983. Determination of the local erosion stress of the canine endothelium using a jet impingement method. J Biomech Eng 105 (1).77-83van Loosdrecht, M. C., J. Lyklema, W. Norde, G. Schraa, and A. J. Zehnder. 1987. The role of bacterial cell wall hydrophobicity in adhesion. Appl Environ Microbiol 53 (8):1893-7 Wainwright M., Phoenix D., Laycock S., Wareing D., Wright P. 1998. Photobactericidal activity of phenothiazinium dyes against methicillin-resistant strains of Staphylococcus aureus. FEMS Microbiology Letters 160: 177-Wainwright M., Crossleyb K. 2004. Photosensitising agents-circumventing resistance and breaking down

bioflms: a review. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 53:119 - 126. Zanin, I. C., R. B. Goncalves, A. B. Junior, C. K. Hope, and J. Pratten. 2005. Susceptibility of Streptococcus mutans biofilms to photodynamic therapy: an in vitro study. J Antimicrob Chemother 56 (2):324-30. Zisman, W. A. . (1964). Relation of the Equilibrium Contact Angle to Liquid and Solid Constitution, in Contact Angle: Wettability and adhesion. 43. Zitzmann, N. U., and T. Berglundh. 2008. Definition and prevalence of peri-implant diseases. J Clin Periodontol 35 (8 Suppl):286-91